

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,180	09/09/2002	Michael Offenberg	10191/2083	8538
²⁶⁶⁴⁶ KENYON & K	7590 12/26/2006 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROAD		RAO, G NAGESH		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1722	
				
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	12/26/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_
	^
•	٠,
•	_

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/018,180	OFFENBERG ET AL.	OFFENBERG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
G. Nagesh Rao	1722		

	G. Nagesh Rao	1722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 11 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth after than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl ust be filed within one in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office of the final rejection, of	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecause			
 (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 10-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nt before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a l).			
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					

Continuation of 13. Other: The amended claims present new issues and potentially new subject matter not considered before by examiner. Nowhere in the remarks did applicant denote where the amended changes could be found in the specification, nor did applicants properly identify claim 19 as "currently amended" but instead presented in the claims as "previously presented" which is not accurate based on the last claim amendment of 3/6/06. The language inserted in the claims raises new issues and therefore cannot be considered at this time which require further consideration and a new search to be conducted.

UCHINORY PATENT EXAMINE

PECHNOLOGY CENTER 17.